## **Exhibit D**

## DELPHI

June 15, 2006

Henry J Hirsch, Sr Business Mgr Credit Mead West Vaco 9080 Springboro Pike Miamisburg, OH 45342

Re: Delphi Corporation, Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)

Dear Henry J Hirsch, Sr Business Mgr Credit:

On November 4, 2005, the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York, entered an amended final order establishing certain procedures for the resolution of reclamation claims (the "Amended Final Order") and on January 5, 2006, the Bankruptcy Court entered an order extending the deadline to send statements of reclamation to all reclamation claimants (the "Extension Order" and together with the Amended Final Order, collectively the "Order") in the chapter 11 reorganization proceedings of Delphi Corporation and certain of its subsidiaries and affiliates (collectively, "Delphi" or the "Debtors").

The Order requires the Debtors to tender a "Statement of Reclamation" in response to each Reclamation Demand that the Debtors have received. On February 21, 2006, the Debtors' sent their Statement of Reclamation with respect to the Reclamation Demand(s) submitted by Mead West Vaco (the "Demand"). We have identified your Demand as Reclamation Claim No. 37. This letter, together with the enclosures, constitute the Debtors' Amended Statement of Reclamation with respect to Claim No. 37 and supersedes the Statement of Reclamation sent on February 21, 2006.

The Debtors have reviewed the Demand, reconciled the Demand with their books and records, and after sending the Statement of Reclamation to you on February 21, 2006, have discussed a modification to the reconciliation with you. Based upon this review and reconciliation, the Debtors have summarized, in the enclosed reclamation analysis, certain invoice, shipment, and related detail concerning the Demand. In accordance with paragraph 2, section (b)(ii) of the Order, the analysis sets forth the extent and basis upon which the Debtors believe that the Demand may or may not be legally valid (subject to assertion of certain defenses as indicated below, which if asserted, could result in the reduction or disallowance of the reclamation claim) (the "Reconciled Reclamation Claim") by indicating whether the Demand was received within the periods allowed by law; whether goods subject to the Demand have been paid for; and whether there are other deductions or disputes asserted by the Debtors.

Mead West Vaco June 15, 2006 Page 2

Reconciled Amount \$28,965.90

Based on the foregoing, the Debtors have identified in the attached analysis a potential reclamation claim amount that the Debtors propose as valid, subject to assertion of the reserved defenses listed below. Specifically, the Debtors assert that the valid amount of the Reconciled Reclamation Claim is no greater than \$28,965.90 but subject to reduction or disallowance by the defenses listed below (the "Reconciled Amount"). If ultimately allowed following the resolution of the defenses set forth below, the allowed amount of your reclamation claim will be deemed an administrative expense claim in these chapter 11 cases. Moreover, your claim, even after allowance, if ever, may be reduced by any payments or credits you receive from the Debtors on account of the goods that are the subject of the Demand. 1

This proposal, including all material enclosed herewith, is being sent to you in the context of settlement discussions and therefore is not admissible in any court proceeding regarding the Demand. In addition, in accordance with paragraph 2, section (b)(ii) of the Order, the Debtors reserve their right to seek, at any time and notwithstanding your agreement to the Reconciled Amount, a judicial determination that the following reserved defenses to the Demand are valid (the "Reserved Defenses"), and your acknowledgment of the Reconciled Amount constitutes your agreement that the Reconciled Amount may be reduced or disallowed in accordance with any judicial determination concerning these Reserved Defenses:

- (i) The Debtors do not concede that they were insolvent on the date they received the goods or, even assuming the Debtors were insolvent, you knew of the Debtors' financial condition before the Debtors received the goods.
- (ii) The goods and/or the proceeds from the sale of the goods are or were subject to a valid security interest.
- (iii) You are not a proper party to make the Demand.
- (iv) The Debtors have already paid for or returned some or all of the goods, or intend to satisfy all or a portion of the Demand in cash or by returning goods.
- (v) You, or any of your subsidiaries or affiliates, have waived your right to any reclamation claim or waived your right to assert the Demand.

The Debtors reserve all their rights and remedies, in law and in equity, to collect or pursue all prepetition credits outstanding, including, without limitation, to setoff such amounts against the allowed amount, if any, of your reclamation claim.

Mead West Vaco June 15, 2006 Page 3

Reconciled Amount \$28,965.90

You, or any of your subsidiaries or affiliates, have been paid on (vi) account of your reclamation claim pursuant to an unrelated order of the Bankruptcy Court and/or you have otherwise waived your right to any reclamation claim in connection therewith.

The Debtors may seek a determination of any of the foregoing Reserved Defenses at any time. Moreover, the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors (the "Creditors Committee") reserves its right to raise any of the Reserved Defenses prior to the final allowance of your reclamation claim. If the Debtors seek such a judicial determination or the Creditors' Committee raises a Reserved Defense, you will be entitled to raise any rights asserted in the Demand in connection with the determination.

If you agree with the Reconciled Amount and the other terms of this Amended Statement of Reclamation, please sign this Statement where indicated and return it to the persons identified immediately below. Your response, including the timing of your response, is governed by the terms of the Order. If you disagree with this Amended Statement of Reclamation, you must sign this Statement where indicated and return it to the persons identified immediately below and you must also provide the information required by paragraph 2, section (b)(iv) of the Order by the Reconciliation Deadline. You must send a signed Statement of Reclamation to the following:

> Christina Cattell Re: Delphi Reclamations Mail Code # 483-400-216 5725 Delphi Drive Troy, MI 48098 Fax: 248-813-2499

> > - with copies to -

Joseph N. Wharton Re: Delphi Reclamations Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP 333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100 Chicago, IL 60606

Fax: 312-407-0411

In accordance with the Order, your failure to return a signed copy of this Amended Statement of Reclamation or your failure to indicate assent or dissent on a copy of this Statement may be deemed an acceptance of the proposal set forth in this Statement.

Nothing in this proposal is intended, nor shall be construed, as a waiver of any of the Debtors' rights with respect to any reclamation claim or demand. In addition, nothing herein shall preclude or otherwise prejudice any of the Debtors' rights to contest or raise any defense or counterclaim in law or in equity, to any reclamation claim or other Mead West Vaco June 15, 2006 Page 4

Reconciled Amount \$28,965.90

demand for reclamation. Moreover, nothing herein shall waive, impair or affect the rights and defenses, if any, of any parties in interest with regard to your Reclamation Claim.

If you have any questions, please send them via email to reclamations@delphi.com or call 248-813-2581.

Very truly yours,

/s/ Christina J. Cattell

Enclosures cc: John D. Sheehan

05-44481-rdd Doc 10631-4 Filed 10/17/07 Entered 10/17/07 17:51:18 Exhibit D Pg 6 of 7

Mead West Vaco June 15, 2006 Page 5

Reconciled Amount \$28,965.90

## **AGREEMENT**

In accordance with paragraph 2, section (b)(iii) of the Order, Mead West Vaco agrees to the terms of this Amended Statement of Reclamation.

Mead West Vaco  By:    Herry J. Hirsch	v about agrees to the terms of this rimended bracement of recommender.													
DISAGREEMENT	By: Herry J. Hisal Dated: 6/16/06													
In accordance with paragraph 2, section (b)(iv) of the Order, Mead West Vaco disputes the terms of this Amended Statement of Reclamation and encloses the information required by paragraph 2, section (b)(iv) of the Order.  Mead West Vaco  By:	(print or type name)													
In accordance with paragraph 2, section (b)(iv) of the Order, Mead West Vaco disputes the terms of this Amended Statement of Reclamation and encloses the information required by paragraph 2, section (b)(iv) of the Order.  Mead West Vaco  By: Dated:														
In accordance with paragraph 2, section (b)(iv) of the Order, Mead West Vaco disputes the terms of this Amended Statement of Reclamation and encloses the information required by paragraph 2, section (b)(iv) of the Order.  Mead West Vaco  By: Dated:														
Vaco disputes the terms of this Amended Statement of Reclamation and encloses the information required by paragraph 2, section (b)(iv) of the Order.  Mead West Vaco  By: Dated:	DISAGREEMENT													
By: Dated:	Vaco disputes the terms of this Amended Statement of Reclamation and encloses the													
(signature)	Mead West Vaco													
	√ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·													
	(nrint or type name)													

(print or type title)

05-44481-	rç	dd Do	Q	<u>,                                    </u>	1(	)6	3	<u>1-</u>	4	_	Fi	le	d	1	Q	/1	7	4	07		Ε	nt	eı	e	: b	10	/1	7/0	07	1	7:5	51:	18	
	¥	Amended Claim - Reason for Change					Revised Payment Test		Revised Paymont Test		Revised Payment Tes	Revised Payment Te				g		/	O7 of	7														
	×	\$ Dillerence between Amended and Original Claim					\$ 6,966.97		\$ 2,486.25		\$ 19,481 00	5 131 68						\$ 28,965.90																
	w	Amended Valid Claim (\$)					\$ 6,866.97	, ,	\$ 2,486.25		\$ 19,481.00	\$ 131.68		 A 16	65			\$ 28,965.90																
	^	Amended Has It been paid					ş		운		No	П																						
	n	Amended Valid Inventory (\$)					\$ 6,866.97		\$ 2,486.25		\$ 19,481.00	\$ 131.68						\$ 28,965.90																
	-	Amended Valid Inventory (units)		1	ľ		707.935	T	1275		7084	329.2																						
	s	Amended Was the receipt Post- Petition																																
	æ	Amended Is the Claim within the allowed Date					Yes		Yes		Yes	You																						
	ō	Amended Vendor Claim Contains proper Documentation					Yes		Yes		Yes	Yas																						
	1	Criginal Valid Claim (\$)					A 50					69.0						. \$				i												
	0	Original Invoice Extended Amount	\$ 25,652.48	\$	Included above	Included above	\$ 13,036.80	\$ 34,316.57	\$ 66,555.16 \$ included above \$	Included above 34,797.05	\$ 85,832.66	Included above	\$ 8,985.60	\$ 9,519.25	\$ 8,985.60	\$ 34,608.29	included above	\$ 578,146.23																
MeadWestvaco	z	Original Invoice Oty	17,939	24,606	3,200	3,172	1,344	3,172	5,314	1,275	26,410	24,000	2,304	3,065	2,304	24,202	8,000																	
MeadW	×	Amended Material Number																																
	_	Original Material Number	RM9069	RM9059	RM905800	RM905800	25384465	RM905800	RM9059 RM9057	RM905800	RM9059	HM905800	25364465	RM9059	25364465	RM9069	RM905800																	
	¥	Original Involce Date	09/29/05	09/29/05	09/29/05	10/2/2005	10/02/05	ماما	10/04/05	lun lu	10/05/05	10/05/05	10/05/05		05/05	7/05	10/07/05																	
	1	Amended Shipment ID																																
÷	-	Original Ipmeni ID	82044811	82045436	82045436	82046492	82046493	82047787	382048709 382048709	32048700	32047641	38204781	32044943 382047830	38204925	38204925 382049276	382049280	08204972																	

Exhibit D